

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/955,178	09/19/2001	Kenichi Aoyagi	07481.0018	9413
75	90 08/26/2003			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow			EXAMINER	
Garrett & Dunn 1300 I Street, N	· •		THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
Washington, DO	20005-3315		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			1774	15
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ASI					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/955,178	AOYAGI ET AL.						
, actions, received	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>-</u>					
	Camie S Thompson	1774						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.							
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time the date from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: The amendment seeks to narrow the scope of the claims.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the CRFP in the Pearce reference is not a transport member. The Pearce reference discloses a carbon fiber reinforced plastic as does the instantly claimed invention. Additionally, the intended use of the article lends no patentable weight to the claim. The Pearce and Kreuger references are drawn to fiber reinforced plastics and thus are analogous art. In order to achieve the properties desired by the instant application, it would have been obvious to combine the Pearce reference that comprises a carbon fiber reinforced plastic that has two layers which have unidirectional fibers and the Kreuger reference that comprises a fiber reinforced plastic that has two layers with unidirectional fibers wherein the fibers are oriented at different angles. The combination is not without motivation.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT COMMENT
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700